**Integrated Planning Meeting**

Friday, September 15, 2017

BU 120; 11a to 12p

Minutes

Present:

D.Achterman, B.Boeding, R.Brown, C.Cisneros, M.Dresch, A.Dufresne-Reyes, W.Ellis, A.Gutierrez, F.Lozano, M.Sandidad, P.Wruck, M.Bresso, K.Moberg

* **Review of Draft Integrated Planning Cycle Diagram**

K.Moberg and M.Bresso reviewed the draft updated Integrated Planning Cycle Diagram with all present.

All were requested to review the cycle and send comments/suggestions to G.Cardinalli

In particular, all were asked to consider what other resources and support is needed.

M.Bresso addressed the updated center circle which now accounts for major stake holders and initiatives in addition to students.

* **Review of Cross Walk**

The cross walk captures non-general fund programs. While comprehensive, it still needs to be “tweaked” to tailor for Gavilan.

One item in particular is still missing – budget information.

K.Moberg commented that budget information will allow to better see where cross-overs occur and where there are gaps.

A question was raised regarding how Guided Pathways fits into the cross walk.

M.Bresso noted that the cross walk and is a tool to help implement plans and Guided Pathways. She also noted that Guided is a vehicle to improve programs but is not a program itself.

Questions raised included, “is there a deliberate way to go back to the Master Plan to determine how Guided Pathways will be related to program planning and program review?” and, “does Guided Pathways help address funds for full time faculty?”

K.Moberg responded that a Guided Pathways bill is pending. Further, a conference informing how Guided Pathways is to be funded is forthcoming.

M.Bresso responded that there are currently insufficient full time faculty members as so many full time faculty are on release to do other jobs.

K.Moberg suggested a change to the flow diagram. She suggested that within the diagram there needs to be a program analysis of faculty needs and scheduling needs.

R.Brown recommended waiting to review what that the Guided Pathways subcommittee suggestion as to how funds will be used.

All agreed that a program analysis of faculty and scheduling needs and how this is to be documented need to be addressed directly prior to accreditation.

* **Discuss Subcommittee Work/Homework**
* Academic Senate and Curriculum: review of academic degrees
* ILO/PLO Task Force – program review of IEC
* Strategic Planning – invite members of this subcommittee to report back to this group.

K.Moberg and M.Bresso requested that all members review the cross walk (G.Cardinalli will send updated version to all as a Google Document). While reviewing, please note gaps; what are they? Where can funds come from to fill these gaps?

Are there overlaps? Where?

For next meeting: department/division outcomes will be discussed and reviewed. Please send yours department/division outcomes to G.Cardinalli